

**Subj:** RE: Note from Adiutori  
**Date:** 12/2/2004 10:06:04 AM Eastern Standard Time  
**From:** [jfe@jhu.edu](mailto:jfe@jhu.edu)  
**To:** [Efadiutori@aol.com](mailto:Efadiutori@aol.com)  
**CC:** [mandrews@mengr.tamu.edu](mailto:mandrews@mengr.tamu.edu)

Dear Dr. Adiutori,

This note is in response to both of your earlier emails to me and my assistant, Mrs. Murphy. Keeping in mind that the Journal of Fluids Engineering is an archival journal, I looked again at your manuscript to make certain I hadn't overlooked anything in my first reading.

When introduced, the Moody chart was a novelty. A lot has changed in many fields since, including fluid mechanics. Today, with the computers we have available, the replotting of the same Moody data with a modified coordinate system is not sufficiently unique to justify archival publication.

No doubt there are users who may find your replotted data more practical for day-to-day use, but that still doesn't make it archival material.

I was trying to be polite by suggesting an alternative journal such as the Journal of the Hydraulic Division of ASCE since it deals often with pipe flows. Expressing your anger by being rude is inappropriate, as is your comment related to civil engineering journals.

Sincerely,  
Joseph Katz  
Technical Editor, Journal of Fluids Engineering